		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	anı	10/736,441	GLEASON, JAMES
Examiner initiated interview duminary		Examiner	Art Unit
		José A. Fortuna	1731
All Participants:		Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>José A. Fortuna</u> .		(3)	
(2) Max Garwood.		(4)	!
Date of Interview: <u>1 August 2006</u>		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH The examiner called applicant's attorney to suggest an Garwood agreed with changes as shown in the examin	amendme	ent in order to put the application	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
T.++1			
Ja J. Fatu			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)